

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,717	06/23/2003	Thomas Feudel	2000.106300	8735
75	90 03/25/2004		EXAM	INER
J. Mike Amerson			LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE	
Williams, Morg	gan & Amerson, P.C.	•		
Suite 1100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10333 Richmon	nd	2812	-	
Houston, TX 77042			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
OM 14 44 O	10/601,717	FEUDEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period f	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
•—•	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-30,32-43 and 45-48 is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20,31 and 44 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration. e allowed. ed.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any object						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority described cop	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗍 Interview Sv	ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the application filed 6/23/03.

Currently, claims 1-48 are pending.

Specification

- 1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the claim limitations of a semiconductive material made of silicon or germanium, must be added to the body of the specification.
- 2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 16, 31 and 44 recite the limitation "semiconductive material" in line 1 of each claim, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,717

Art Unit: 2812

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior (Specification of Application No. 10/601717, pages 3-7 Figs. 1a-1d) in view of Park et al.(U.S. Patent No. 6,268,640 patented 7/31/2001).

Page 3

Applicant's admitted prior art shows the method substantially as claimed, in Figs. 1a-1d and specification pages (3-7), as: forming at least one gate structure (3) above an active region of said at least one transistor (100) (Fig 1a); and implanting ions (7a) of at least one material (page 3, lines 21-23) through the portions of the surface of said substrate (1) not covered by said at least one gate structure by exposing the surface of said substrate to at least one ion beam (7a, multiple arrows) of said at least one material so as to substantially amorphize (5a)(page 3, line 23) the exposed portions of said surface to a predefined depth (Fig 1a)(page 3, lines 18-23) (claims 1 and 10). Applicant's admitted prior art also shows, implanting ions (7h) of a first predefined conductivity type during a second implantation step (page 4, lines 2-3) through the portions of the surface of said substrate not covered by said gate structure so as to form halo structures into the amorphized portions of said substrate (Fig. 1b) (page 4, lines 2-7) (claim 10). At least one material comprises heavy inert ions, (claims 3 and 12) (page 3 lines 5-11 of the specification). The heavy inert ions comprise one of xenon, germanium, silicon, argon, or a combination (claims 4 and 13) (page 3 lines 5-11 of the specification). The implanting energy during said first implantation step is kept in the range of approximately 50-150 Kev (claims 5 and 14) (page 3 lines 5-11 of the specification). The field effect transistor is one of an NMOS and a PMOS transistor (claims 8 and 9) (Figure 1b. page 6 lines 5-9 of the specification). The method further

Application/Control Number: 10/601,717

Art Unit: 2812

comprises implanting ions of a second predefined conductivity type opposed to the first conductivity type during a third implantation step into the amorphized portions of said substrate (claim 17) (Figure 1c. page 4 lines 9-14 of the specification). The method further comprises: forming spacer elements adjacent to a portion of the sidewalls of said gate structure; and implanting ions of a predefined conductivity type corresponding to one of said first and second conductivity types during a fourth implantation step through at least the portions of said surface not covered by said gate structure and said spacer elements, (claim 18) (Figure 1d. page 4 lines 16-21 of the specification).

The admitted prior art lacks anticipation only in not expressly disclosing that: 1) at least one ion beam is kept at a tilt angle with respect to a direction perpendicular to said surface of said substrate (claims 1 and 10); 2) the tilt angle is selected between 10 and 80 degrees (claims 2 and 11); 3) the implanting dose during said first implantation step is in the range of approximately 1 x 10¹¹/ cm² to 1 x 10¹⁴/ cm² (claims 6 and 15); 4)the substrate is rotated approximately 180 degrees about an axis perpendicular to said surface at least once during implanting (claim 9); and 5) the substrate is rotated approximately 180 degrees about an axis substantially perpendicular to said surface at least once during said first implantation step (claim 20).

Park et al. teaches, in a similar transistor device, that non-doping ions, such as germanium, silicon, carbon or impurities such as nitrogen, at a tilt angle between 10 to about 40°, are used to amorphize the substrate (col. 3, lines 57-65). Park et al. teaches the implanting dose of 1E14 cm⁻² (col.3, lines 63-65). Park et al. also teaches rotating the substrate through 180 degrees (col. 3 line 66-col. 4 line 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,717 Page 5

Art Unit: 2812

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the method shown in Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, by using the tilt angle of 10 to 40 degrees, as taught in Park et al., with the motivation that Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Park et al. are concerned with improving short channel characteristics(col. 1 lines 57-63). Additionally, the tilt angle implant of Park et al. amorphizes a region underneath the gate electrode, to allow for a reduction of lateral channeling of subsequently implanted dopants, which is an improvement over vertical implanting procedures used in Applicant's admitted prior art.

*** Note: When applicant states that something is prior art, it is taken as being available as prior art against the claims. Admitted prior art can be used in obviousness rejections. In re Nomiya, 509 F.2d 566, 184 USPQ 607, *>611< (CCPA 1975) (Figures in the application labeled "prior art" held to be an admission that what was pictured was prior art relative to applicant's invention.). ***

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2: Claims 21-30, 32-43, and 45-48 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art, either singly or in combination, fails to anticipate or render obvious, the limitations of:

... "wherein the tilt angle of said ion beam with respect to a direction perpendicular to the surface of said substrate is varied according to a predefined time schedule comprising a plurality of implanting periods", as required by claims 21 and 34.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 2812

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter Z. Lindard J. March 10, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800